

Appl. No. 10/680,515  
Atty. Docket No. 8846C  
Amdt. dated June 3, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of March 3, 2004  
Customer No. 27752

#### REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are pending in the present application. No additional claims fee is believed to be due.

#### PRIORITY

Applicant has amended the specification to indicate the present status of the nonprovisional parent application.

#### DRAWINGS

Applicant has amended the specification to include the reference signs 100A and 200A corresponding to liquid delivery systems.

#### DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 1, 6-8, 10-11 and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 11-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,883.

Applicant herewith submits a timely filed terminal disclaimer disclaiming any patent term in excess of that of U.S. Patent 6,647,883.

#### ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the identification of claims 1-16 as allowable subject matter if the double patenting rejection is overcome. Applicant submits that the above referenced terminal disclaimer should overcome the double patenting rejection.

#### CONCLUSION

In light of the attached terminal disclaimer, the amendments to the specification, and the above remarks, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the double patenting rejection and the objection to the drawings. Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
KEVIN BENSON McNEIL

By   
\_\_\_\_\_  
David K. Mattheis  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 48,683  
(513) 634-7419

June 3, 2004  
Customer No. 27752  
(Amendment-Response to Office Action.doc)  
Revised 4/30/2004